```
1
              IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
           FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA
 3
 4
        LISA LAMBERT,
 5
             Plaintiff,
 6
                                      Civil Action No.
             vs.
                                           96-247
 7
        SUPERINTENDENT WILLIAM )
 8
        WOLFE,
 9
             Defendant.
        . . . . . . . . . . . . . . <del>. . .</del> .
10
        SYLVIA VASQUEZ,
             Plaintiff,
11
                                      Civil Action No.
12
             vs.
                                           96-429
        SUPERINTENDENT WILLIAM )
13
        WOLFE,
14
             Defendant.
15
        ROBIN PHILLIPS,
16
             Plaintiff,
17
                                      Civil Action No.
             VS.
                                           98-59
18
        SUPERINTENDENT WILLIAM )
19
       WOLFE,
20
             Defendant.
21
22
       COUNSEL PRESENT:
       For the Plaintiffs: Jere Krakoff, Esq.
23
       For the Defendant:
                                 Thomas F. Halloran, Esq.
24
                                 Senior Deputy Attorney
                                  General
25
                                                       A 126
```

1	M. Wolanin - by Mr. Krakoff
2	Q. And what was the other name prior to
3	Office of Professional Responsibility?
4	A. Special Investigations.
5	Q. And I'm going to refer to it at all
6	times as OPR or the Office of Professional
7	Responsibility.
8	What is your specific job title within
9	that agency?
10	A. It's presently special investigator III.
11	Q. And when did you become a special
12	investigator III approximately?
13	A. That was sometime in the fall of '98.
14	Prior to that, I was a special investigator II.
15	Q. Going back how far?
16	A. To when I started.
17	Q. And when did you begin working for OPR?
18	A. February 14, 1994.
19	Q. Did you work with the Department of
20	Corrections prior to the 14th of February 1994?
21	A. No.
22	Q. Where were you employed when you began
23	to work prior to coming to work with the DOC?
24	A. I was a police officer for the
25	Blairsville Police Department, and I also

1	M. Wolanin - by Mr. Krakoff
2	Q. For the who?
3	A. Blairsville Borough Police Department,
4	and I also had a business.
5	Q. Was that business associated with law
6	enforcement or security?
7	A. No, no, not at all.
8	Q. And how long had you been a police
9 -	officer with Blairsville?
1 0	A. Approximately five years or so.
11	Q. Now, where is the OPR office located?
12	A. The main office is located in Camp Hill,
13	Pennsylvania.
14	Q. Are there regional offices or
15	A. We have one regional office located here
16	in Pittsburgh.
17	Q. And where do you work out of?
18	A. Primarily out of Pittsburgh, even though
19	I'm I float back and forth.
2 0	Q. You float back and forth between
21	Pittsburgh and Camp Hill?
22	A. Yeah, primarily in Pittsburgh, though.
23	For meetings and what have you
2 4	Q. Right.
25	A I go back. Other than that, I'm

1.	M. Wolanin - by Mr. Krakoff
2	a prison staff aimed at an inmate?
3	A. Sure.
4	Q. It could also include alleged or
5	possible misconduct from one inmate to another
6	inmate? Not generally?
7	A. Not generally, not generally, no.
8	Q. So the two primary scenarios would be
9	possible misconduct on the part of a staff
10	member at an inmate, misconduct of a staff
11	member to another staff member?
12	A. That would be possible.
13	Q. Or an inmate to a staff member?
14	A. Correct.
15	Q. And what are your basic functions, and
16	what were they when I ask that, what were
17	they during the time period that this
18	deposition's focusing on?
19	A. Investigate complaints within the DOC,
20	whatever may surface.
21	Q. And as a general rule, what kinds of
22	activities do you utilize to investigate
23	complaints? By that, I mean interviews, et
24	cetera. I'm speaking in generic terms. I'm not
25	asking about how you investigated any particular

1	M. Wolanin - by Mr. Krakoff
2	examinations in that context, was it for
3	purposes of use in court, or was it for some
4	other purpose that you were requesting it?
5	MR. HALLORAN: Let me object. It
6	calls for a legal conclusion from the witness.
7	MR. KRAKOFF: Well, I'm just
8	asking
9	Q. Are you taking that polygraph for use in
10	court, or are you taking the polygraph for use
11	in administrative proceedings, possible
12	administrative proceedings?
13	A. It's used as a tool for our
14	investigations.
15	Q. To determine credibility or to assist
16	you in determining
17	A. To assist us, to assist us in our
18	investigation. I think I'd probably say it that
19	way.
20	Q. Now, is one purpose of an investigation
21	of possible abuse or misconduct on the part of a
22	staff member against an inmate to determine
23	whether there might have been a violation of the
24	Department's code of ethics? Is that one
25	purpose that might be involved in a case?

M. Wolanin - by Mr. Krakoff 1 Α. Correct. 2 Is another purpose to determine whether 3 Q. there might be grounds for making a criminal 4 referral for prosecution in the criminal courts? 5 If it reached to that level. 6 Ά Right. Other than those two purposes, 7 Q. the first which would be administrative and the 8 other which would be, I guess I can call it, 9 judicial, is there any other purpose that your 10 11 investigation can be used for in connection with alleged or possible misconduct by a staff member 12 toward an inmate? 13 Could you repeat that? 14 I think you said that one objective of 15 an investigation could be to determine whether 16 17 there's been a violation of the code of ethics, 18 correct? Correct, correct. 19 Α. 20 And the other, if it rises to that Ο. 21 level, might be to see whether there's a basis 22 for referring a matter for criminal prosecution, 23 correct? 24 Α. Correct. 25 Is there any other general objective Q.

1	M. Wolanin - by Mr. Krakoff
2	that might be served by an investigation other
3	than those two?
4	A. Or to find out that the facts are
5	unsubstantiated.
6	Q. Now, during that period prior to April
7	of 1996, were you assigned to investigate any
8	particular prison or prisons, clusters of
9	prisons, or might you investigate any of the
10	prisons in the Department?
11	A. Statewide.
12	Q. Was SCI-Cambridge Springs among the
13	prisons after it opened that you had
1.4	jurisdiction over to investigate?
15	A. It was. It was one of many.
16	Q. Right. In fact, there are now in excess
17	of 20-something prisons, correct?
1.8	A. That would be correct.
19	Q. And at that time in 1994 when you began
20	to work at the Department, do you recall
21	approximately how many prisons there were?
22	A. Probably 20, 20 or so or in excess of
23	20. There had been several that opened up.
2 4	Q. Right. Certainly Cambridge Springs was
25	not the sole focus of your investigations; is

1	M. Wolanin - by Mr. Krakoff
2	who was certified?
3	A. I believe Robinson may have been, but I
4	don't know for sure.
5	Q. Are you aware of first of all, did
6	you conduct some investigations of alleged
7	misconduct on the part of Cambridge Springs'
8	staff members toward Cambridge Springs' inmates
9	during this time period?
10	A. Did I conduct?
11	Q. Investigations.
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. And to the best of your recollection,
14	did any of those other names that you mentioned
15	conduct investigations of that sort?
16	MR. HALLORAN: Do you mean at
17	Cambridge Springs?
18	MR. KRAKOFF: At Cambridge
19	Springs.
20	A. I know Dodson was involved. Whether the
21	others were, I cannot recall specifically.
22	Q. Well, let me represent to you, and this
23	is not your testimony, but let me represent to
24	you that of the documents that I received, I saw
25	your name on whenever there is a report

1	M. Wolanin - by Mr. Krakoff
2	issued from OPR, you were the person who issued
3	the report, and I did see some reference to Mr.
4	Dodson administering polygraphs.
5	A. Okay.
6	Q. But I didn't see any other of the names
7	that you've mentioned on any of those reports.
8	Is there some reason or some explanation that
9	you have as to why you appear to be the person
L 0	who conducted if not all of the investigations
Ll	at least most of them?
12	A. An explanation of why I did most of
1.3	them?
L 4	Q. Yes.
L 5	A. It's probably the the best
16	explanation would be I'm from Western
17	Pennsylvania. The other individuals are from
18	the Harrisburg or Eastern Pennsylvania, and
19	it was easier for me to do them because I was
2 0	here.
21	Q. So it was logistical?
22	A. Correct.
23	Q. Now, what training, if any, did you
2 4	receive either by from the Department of
2.5	Corrections or through arrangements made by the

M. Wolanin - by Mr. Krakoff 1 employee misconduct toward inmates is 2 investigated by prison-level staff without being 3 referred to OPR for investigation? 4 That would be correct. Yes. 5 Α. Is there a written policy that you're 6 Ο. aware of, either within OPR specifically or the 7 Department of Corrections more generally, a 8 written policy, that governs whether a case 9 should be investigated by OPR or whether it can 1.0 be investigated solely at -- by prison-level 11 officials? 12 There is no written policy that I am 13 aware of. 74 Are you aware of any unwritten policy 15 where criteria exists or quidelines exist as to 16 when a case of possible staff-against-inmate 17 misconduct should be referred to OPR or when it 1.8 19 should be -- or when an investigation can be conducted at the prison level by prison-level 20 21 employees? Is there a practice -- was there a 22 practice during the time period that we're 23 concerned with? There's no unwritten policy that I'm 24

aware of. It's just on the institution.

25

1	M. Wolanin - by Mr. Krakoff
2	Q. Their judgment?
3	A. It's their judgment or depending on what
4	level the offense may rise to.
5	Q. Now, how do the OPR referrals ordinarily
6	come into the agency?
7	A. How we receive complaints or referrals?
8	Q. Referrals. ,
9	A. Referrals usually will usually come
10	through the superintendent, through a regional
11	deputy or through the commissioner to our
12	office.
13	Q. You have to receive a request, though,
14	by either the superintendent or by the regional
15	deputy commissioner or the superintendent; is
16	that right? There has to be a
17	A. Yeah, yeah. We just don't go in on our
18	own.
19	Q. Right. So it would come generally from
20	one of those three sources?
21	A. Right.
22	Q. Are referrals to OPR in the form of
23	something in writing, or are they orally made?
24	A. Either.
25	Q. Either. And I noted as I went through

M. Wolanin - by Mr. Krakoff 1 investigations you were involved in? 2 I can't say that I recall that. 3 Now, I asked you earlier about how 0. referrals come in. You said that you all don't 5 just reach down and make your own referral. Ιt 6 either comes from the superintendent or from the 7 regional commissioner or from the 8 superintendent. 9 It comes through a channel. 10 Right. And do you know who has the 11 authority to decide whether an investigation 12 will be conducted? Who makes that decision? 13 Who makes the decision to conduct an Α. 14 investigation? 15 Right. 16 Q. It could either be the director of OPR. 17 Α. It could be the regional deputy. It could be 18 the commissioner. It could be a number of 19 20 people. Now, let me focus you on investigations 21 that are done on the -- by staff level first now 22 as opposed to OPR. Is there any requirement, 23 either written or unwritten, that you are aware 24 of that OPR be informed of the fact that an 25

1 M. Wolanin - by Mr. Krakoff 2 investigation is being conducted by prison-level personnel? 3 4 Is there any requirement that we be 5 informed? Ο. 6 Yes. 7 Not that I'm aware of. Α. 8 Ο. And that would include cases of alleged staff against inmate; is that correct? 9 10 Α. Yes. 11 Now, if an investigation of possible 12 staff-against-inmate misconduct is conducted, is 13 there any requirement that OPR be informed of 14 the information gathered in such an 15 investigation by prison-level staff? 16 Α. Could you repeat that? 17 Sure. Are you aware of any policy, 18 either written or unwritten, that where 19 prison-level staff conduct an investigation of alleged misconduct against an inmate that the 20 21 information generated during that investigation be shared with OPR? 22 23 I know there's an abuse policy. I'm not in the abuse unit. So I can't really say what 24 25 the requirements are.

M. Wolanin - by Mr. Krakoff 1 Yes, yes. 2 Ο. Other than that, nothing that I'm aware Α. 3 of. 4 By abuse, are -- what do you mean by 5 0. abuse? 6 Α. Alleged assaults. 7 If I can use a word, are you talking 8 about excessive force? 9 Excessive force. 10 Α. There's a --Q. 11 MR. KRAKOFF: Oh, go ahead. 1.2 (Counsel confers with witness.) 13 Let me get specific. Q. 14 MR. HALLORAN: Jere, he wants to 15 16 add something. I'm sorry. 17 Ο. If there's an alleged complaint Yeah. 18 Α. at the institution that there's possible 19 criminal implications, it comes to us 20 automatically because the institution --21 institutional staff do not have law enforcement 22 powers, per se, and our office does. 23 So anything that might rise to a level 24 of criminal misconduct has to be referred to 25

1	M. Wolanin - by Mr. Krakoff
2	OPR, correct?
3	A. Correct.
4	Q. At least it has to does it have to be
5	referred for an investigation by OPR, or do you
6	simply have to be told that they're
7	investigating it at the local level?
8	A. Basically it's referred to us possibly
9	even for an assist to investigate in conjunction
10	with the institution.
11	Q. Yes. But you are you saying you have
12	to be at least told about it if it involves
13	possible criminal misbehavior, or are you saying
14	that you actually have to participate in that
15	investigation? I'm a little bit confused.
16	MR. HALLORAN: I don't think he
17	said either one. I think he said in the course
18	of the investigation if the criminal if
19	possible criminal conduct comes to light.
20	MR. KRAKOFF: Yes.
21	Q. What happens then? You're told about
22	it, or you're brought into the investigation?
23	A. We're brought into it.
24	(Counsel confers with witness.)
25	Q. Let me give you a definition so that

1	M. Wolanin - by Mr. Krakoff
2	the definition I gave you?
3	A. Yeah.
4	Q. Now, let me focus specifically on
5	whether you are aware of any written policy or
6	unwritten policy, which I can also call a
7	practice, where investigations involving alleged
8	sexual misconduct of the nature I described are
9	conducted on the local level by prison-level
10	staff. Are you aware of any requirement that
11	OPR be told that an investigation was being
12	conducted at the prison level?
13	A. Is there any requirement that OPR be
14	told
15	Q. Yes.
16	A of an investigation of this nature?
17	Q. Yes.
18	MR. HALLORAN: You mean the
19	MR. KRAKOFF: The initiation of an
20	investigation.
21	A. There's no requirement that I'm aware
22	of.
23	Q. And are you aware of any requirement
24	that information gathered during such an
25	investigation be shared with or brought to the
·· · · · · · · ·	

1	M. Wolanin - by Mr. Krakoff
2	attention of OPR?
3	A. Nothing that I'm aware of.
4	Q. And
5	MR. HALLORAN: You mean other than
6	he's already answered?
7	MR. KRAKOFF: Well, I think what
8	he said was that if there's a criminal
9	MR. HALLORAN: Right, yes.
10	THE WITNESS: Criminality.
11	MR. KRAKOFF: If they think it
12	rises to criminality.
13	THE WITNESS: Criminality.
14	Q. At that point, you have to be told about
15	it, correct?
16	A. Because we have to be involved in that.
17	Q. Right. I understand. And then are you
18	aware of any written policy or unwritten policy
19	or practice in which findings in such an
20	investigation have to be shared with OPR,
21	findings of an investigation conducted by
22	prison-level staff be shared with the OPR?
23	A. Only if it rises to the level of
24	criminality.
25	Q. Now, have you ever investigated any

1.	M. Wolanin - by Mr. Krakoff
2	instances of possible staff-against-inmate
3	misconduct of a sexual nature at Cambridge
4	Springs?
5	A. Have I investigated it?
6	Q. Yes.
7	A. Sure.
8	Q. Before I get into that, let me clarify
9	something. I think you said a while earlier
10	that there is a special unit within OPR to
11	investigate allegations of possible excessive
12	use of physical force against inmates; is that
13	correct?
14	A. Yeah. Now there is. Back then,
15	everybody did everything to clarify that.
16	Q. When did that special unit start?
17	A. In recent times.
18	Q. Within the last year or so?
19	A. Yeah. That would be correct.
20	Q. Before that, there was no special unit
21	to investigate excessive use of force
22	complaints; is that correct?
23	A. Everybody did everything.
24	Q. What I'd like you to do is to tell me by
25	either the name of the inmate or the name of the

۱	M. Wolanin - by Mr. Krakoff
1	
2	staff member or members involved each of the
3	investigations of alleged or possible sexual
4	misconduct, staff against inmate, that you were
5	involved in during the time period that we're
6	talking about between February of '94 and
7	through April of '96.
8	A. Do you want me to tell you each of the
9	investigations?
10	Q. Yes. I'd like I want to identify
11	I want you to I'm not going to ask you to
1.2	tell me the details of the investigation.
13	A. Yeah.
14	Q. I'm trying to create a list so that I'll
15	know what to come back with.
16	MR. HALLORAN: At Cambridge
17	Springs?
18	MR. KRAKOFF: Oh, yes, yes.
19	Q. All of these questions that I'm asking
20	you about today are about Cambridge Springs.
21	A. I'll try. I can't I might miss some.
22	Q. No. I just want you to I'll tell you
23	what, and it might be beneficial. I'm going to
24	take about a three-minute break, three or four
2 5	minutes so that you can think about them now

1	M. Wolanin - by Mr. Krakoff
2	rather than me asking you to tell them to me
3	right this second. Okay? Think for three or
4	four minutes, and then I'd like you to tell me
5	those that you recall, and let me do it this way
6	so that because it may be hard for you to
7	separate what was in April of 1996 or what was
8	in May of 1996.
9	A. That's true.
10	Q. So let me go through 1996, okay, through
11	December of '96, so that we're talking about the
12	day that you started through the rest of '94,
13	through all of '95 and all of '96. Okay?
14	A. Okay.
15	Q. And that's what I'd like you to do, and
16	I'll be back in a minute, and you can write them
17	down so you know, as you think of them so
18	that
19	(A brief recess was taken.)
20	A. Okay. Would you repeat what the
21	question was?
22	Q. Yes.
23	MR. HALLORAN: No. You don't have
24	to repeat it.
25	A. Okay. Do you want the names?

M. Wolanin - by Mr. Krakoff 1 Ο. Yes. 2 The ones that I can recall, an 3 individual by the name of Zimmerman. 4 Ο. Yes. 5 Paul Walton, Jim Eicher. Martin Miller 6 was in that time frame. Whether it was prior to 7 '96 or '97, I can't recall. Jim Merry and an 8 individual by the name of Hammer or Hammers I 9 was briefly involved in, which he resigned 10 before I got to him. So it was minimal. Any --11 if there's anybody else, I cannot recall right 12 13 now. Stones, either Stone or Stones, does 14 that ring a bell? 15 Mike Stone? 16 Α. 17 Right. Ο. I can't recall the specifics. I believe 18 Α. it was unsub -- if I can recall, I might be 19 wrong, I think it was unsubstantiated. He was 20 brought back to work. What happened --21 O. What we'll do is we'll go through -- I'm 22 going to go through most of these with you and 23 ask you some specific questions, or those --24 some of those documents might assist you in 25

1	M. Wolanin - by Mr. Krakoff
2	alleged victim, not a situation where you were
3	investigating to see if an inmate had harmed a
4	staff member or property.
5	A. Okay. That's fine.
6	Q. Do you understand that?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. So and I think your answer would
9	your answer hold that where the inmates were the
10	possible victims of abuse, it was not your
11	practice to mirandize them, but there might have
12	been an occasion that you did?
13	A. Where the inmates were a victim, they
14	were not mirandized.
15	Q. Yes.
16	MR. HALLORAN: Did you include
17	witness in that question?
18	MR. KRAKOFF: Yes, both witnesses
19	and possible victims.
20	Q. Is that true? You did not mirandize?
21	A. Correct. That's
22	Q. Now, would there have been in your
23	opinion as an investigator a negative
24	consequence, a potential negative consequence,
25	to mirandizing either the witnesses, the inmate

M. Wolanin - by Mr. Krakoff 1 investigations that you conducted, like in 2 Lambert, in Phillips and Vasquez, meaning the 3 Miller case that Phillips and Vasquez were involved in, the Eicher case that Lambert was 5 involved in and the other cases that you investigated, was it your practice not to 7 mirandize the inmates that you interviewed? 8 The inmates? Α. 9 Q. Yes. 10 That would be correct. Α. 11 Do you have a recollection of ever 12 mirandizing any of the inmates that you 13 interviewed? And that would include both the 14 possible victim of the guard-on-inmate abuse or 15 the -- just witnesses who might provide you 16 information. Was it your practice not to 17 mirandize them? 18 I cannot recall mirandizing, you know, 19 any inmates, not to say that it hadn't been 20 done, but I cannot recall specifically any that 21 comes to mind that I mirandized. 22 Yes. And, of course, I'm distinguishing 23 0. that from a situation where you're -- we're only 24

talking about situations where the inmate was an

25

1	M. Wolanin - by Mr. Krakoff
2	witnesses, or the possible inmate victim? Would
3	there have been a negative a downside to
4	mirandizing them?
5	A. The potential is there. When you
6	mirandize them, you advise them of their
7	rights. At that point, they don't have to speak
8	to us.
9	Q. Right.
1.0	A. Without an attorney.
11	Q. Right. So there is a there was a
12	possibility, then, that if you mirandize
13	witnesses that the witnesses are not going to
14	talk to you, correct?
15	A. The potential is there. They are not
16	accused, but the potential is there.
17	Q. But so that this is a clear answer, is
18	it your testimony
19	MR. HALLORAN: I'm going to
20	object. The answer is clear.
21	MR. KRAKOFF: Is it clear? What
22	is it? The potential of what? That's what I'm
23	not clear on when he said the potential is
24	there.
25	MR. HALLORAN: That they would

1	M. Wolanin - by Mr. Krakoff
2	exercise their right to remain silent.
3	Q. Is that what you meant?
4	A. Yeah, that's what I meant.
5	Q. Did you ever suggest to either Captain
6	Bartlett or to Captain Lazenby that they should
7	mirandize witnesses or potential victims in
8	cases of this sort?
9	A. Not that I can recall.
10	Q. Did they ever ask you whether you
11	believed that they should mirandize either
12	witness an inmate witness or a possible
13	inmate victim?
14	A. Not that I can recall, sir.
15	Q. And was there an OPR policy, either
16	written or unwritten, that addressed the
17	question of whether or not an inmate victim or
18	an inmate witness should be mirandized in the
19	context of an investigation? Was there any
20	policy?
21	A. Not that I'm aware of.
22	(Counsel confers with witness.)
23	MR. KRAKOFF: Do you have
24	something to add or
25	MR. HALLORAN: No.

M. Wolanin - by Mr. Krakoff 1 before that? 2 Α. Nobody knew. 3 Did you ask any of the inmates when the Ο. 4 room had been cleared out? 5 I don't recall if I did or not, no. Α. 6 Now, let me refer you to paragraph 30 7 which is on page 74 of the same exhibit, Exhibit 8 127. 9 Α. Okay. 10 11 0. Do you see that? 12 Α. Yep. In further -- it reads, in further 13 Q. rebuttal, the Department presented the testimony 7 4 of Carmel Bynum. Ms. Bynum currently lives in 15 McKees Rocks and works as a data processor with 16 File Express. She testified that she was an 17 inmate at SCI-Cambridge Springs from April 1992 18 until October 1993. Ms. Bynum testified that 19 she worked on the electrical crew and that her 20 supervisor was Ronald Randolph. In August 1993, 2.1 she was helping to rewire the Alliance 22 Building. On one particular day in August 1993, 23 appellant, and that would be Zimmerman, was 24 supervising her during the rewiring of the 25

M. Wolanin - by Mr. Krakoff 1 Alliance Building. She testified that at one 2 point, he directed her to the corner of the 3 attic in order to show her how to run the wire 4 in the attic, and after he showed her, he moved 5 very close to her and made a pass at her. Ms. 6 Bynum testified that after appellant had made a 7 pass at her, he told her that if things were 8 only different, he would like to take her to 9 bed. Ms. Bynum also testified that after this 10 incident whenever she walked past him, he would 11 emit a sexual groan, and then it goes on from 12 there, and there's -- and it goes on two 13 sentences later to say, for the record, Ms. 14 Bynum's -- her hair is blonde. 15 Now, was there some information that you 16 had received that Zimmerman had focused on 17 blonde inmates to prey upon? 18 I believe all of his inmate clerks were 19 blonde. 2.0Yes. And did you relate the information 21 about Bynum's hair to somebody? 22 MR. HALLORAN: I'm going to 2.3 There's no -- Bynum's information 24 refers to the instance that occurred in 1992 and 25

1	M. Wolanin - by Mr. Krakoff
2	If I can recall back to the criminal
3	trial that we went through, I believe he was
4	convicted on I don't have the transcript in
5	front of me. So I don't
6	Q. I'm not asking what he was convicted
7	of. I'm asking you what conclusion you reached
8	as of the time that you generated this report.
9	A. That the acts occurred.
10	Q. And what were the acts that you believed
11	occurred?
1.2	A. Emma basically took or Walton took
13	Emma Gleckl into a cooler at SCI-Cambridge
14	Springs and intimidated her into performing oral
15	sex on him.
16	Q. And did he also and that occurred on
17	several occasions; is that correct?
18	A. Correct.
19	Q. And did he was there also did you
20	also conclude that he had fondled her?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. And that he kissed her breasts?
23	A. Correct.
24	Q. Now, when conducting this investigation,
25	did you focus at all on the issue of whether

1	M. Wolanin - by Mr. Krakoff
2	the inmate?
3	A. In determining a code of ethics
4	violation?
5	Q. Yes.
6	A. No. It just was an issue of
7	fraternization period.
8	Q. And in terms of and in some of the
9	in the Walton case, you recommended the filing
10	of criminal charges, didn't you? Didn't you
11	sign a probable cause?
12	A. Yes, I did.
13	Q. Is that can amount to recommending
1 4	that the criminal process at least be initiated
15	by the DOC?
16	A. Correct.
17	Q. And in filing these criminal before
18	signing the probable cause, did you consider
19	whether or not what occurred to Gleckl was
20	consensual on her part?
21	A. To reconsider if it was consensual?
22	MR. HALLORAN: I'm going to object
23	to the form of the question.
24	MR. KRAKOFF: I'm asking whether
25	that's an issue.

1	M. Wolanin - by Mr. Krakoff
2	Q. When you were conducting your
3	investigations, do you work under a premise one
4	way or another whether it is possible for an
5	inmate to actually give consent to sexual
6	activity with an office with a staff member?
7	A. No. An inmate has if she's
8	incarcerated or he's incarcerated, consent does
9	not enter the picture.
10	Q. Why not?
11	A. Because they're incarcerated, and that's
12	the way the section read.
13	Q. Does the
14	A. The inmate's incapable of consenting.
15	Q. Now, let me take you back to Exhibit 29
16	of Volume I. That's an affidavit of probable
17	cause?
18	A. That would be correct.
19	Q. And if you can review that and tell me
20	if that was your the affidavit that you
21	executed in connection with the Walton/Gleckl
22	matter.
23	A. It was.
24	Q. And as a matter of OPR policy, did you
25	have to obtain somebody's authorization to

1	M. Wolanin - by Mr. Krakoff
2	A. Correct.
3	Q. And you also referring to Exhibit 30,
4	you also signed the criminal complaint?
5	A. Correct.
6	Q. Now, during in the course of the
7	investigation of Paul Walton, did you make any
8	effort to see whether his sexual activities in
9	relation to inmates included any inmates in
10	addition to Emma Gleckl, or was your
11	investigation limited to Emma Gleckl?
12	A. I think we focused just on Emma Gleckl.
13	Q. Now, either before you submitted the
1.4	report, the special investigation report, or
15	after, did you have a discussion with
16	Superintendent Wolfe about the substance of the
17	report?
18	A. Did I, no.
19	Q. And you don't know whether this was
20	distributed to Superintendent Wolfe or not; is
21	that right?
22	A. I cannot say that. I didn't.
23	Q. You would prepare the report and, what,
24	submit it to A156
25	A. Vaughn Davis.

1	M. Wolanin - by Mr. Krakoff
2	Q. And then where it would go from there,
3	only Davis would know?
4	A. Right. That's beyond my control.
5	Q. And where did the sex with Emma Gleckl
6	occur? Where in the institution did you
7	determine that it occurred?
8	A. Over various instances, it occurred in
9	different locations. One was in the a dish
. 0	room. One was in a laundry room and then in a
L1	cooler.
L 2	Q. Was that a walk-in
L 3	A. That's correct.
L 4	Q cooler?
L 5	A. Yeah.
L 6	Q. And Gleckl, was she mirandized by you?
L 7	You didn't mirandize her, did you?
8.1	A. No.
L 9	Q. Now, did you go to that cooler? Did you
2 0	actually go down into the cooler and look into
21	it?
22	A. Uh-huh, sure, yes.
23	Q. And where were the other areas where you
2.4	learned that there was sexual activity?
25	A. A dish room and somewhere else.

1	M. Wolanin - by Mr. Krakoff
2	Q. You don't recall where?
3	A. It's in the report. I don't recall
4	without me reviewing the whole report.
5	Q. Do you want to look at the report and
6	see if you can find that?
7	A. In the laundry room.
8	Q. Now, did you determine whether there was
9	any sort of a camera inside that walk-in cooler?
1.0	A. No, there wasn't.
11	Q. So unless somebody was actually inside
12	of the cooler, if the door was closed, couldn't
13	somebody from the outside couldn't see what
14	was going on in the inside?
15	A. Correct.
16	Q. Now, after the discovery that there had
17	been sexual activity in a cooler, did you or, to
18	your knowledge, anybody at OPR recommend that a
19	surveillance camera be installed in the cooler?
20	A. No, not that I'm aware of.
21	Q. Did OPR have any role in making
22	recommendations with respect to the improvement
23	of security in relation to its investigations?
24	A. I'm sure the director could have made
25	suggestions.

1	M. Wolanin - by Mr. Krakoff
2	special investigations
3	A. Correct.
4	Q office assistance? And this notes
5	that "on March 10, 1995, a preliminary
6	investigation was started on COT Richard Hammers
7	in regard to sexual contact with an inmate," and
8	it goes on from there, and then
9	MR. HALLORAN: Can we indicate
1.0	that as a result of the preliminary
11	investigation, he was suspended pending a final
12	outcome?
13	MR. KRAKOFF: Yes, yes, sure. I'm
1.4	not trying to hide that. I'm that wasn't my
15	gear.
16	MR. HALLORAN: Oh, I know. I just
17	wanted to state that.
18	MR. KRAKOFF: Right.
19	Q. So that started on the 10th. And then
20	on page nine of this document, which is part of
21	your report
22	A. Okay.
23	Q this notes, this investigation was
24	authorized by Vaughn Davis on March 16th,
25	correct?
	1

M. Wolanin - by Mr. Krakoff 1 Okay. That was the standard format. 2 Right. And then -- so you would have --3 according to your summary of the interviews, you 4 interviewed Maysonet, Elizabeth Maysonet, on the 5 20th of March 1995, correct? 6 Right. Α. 7 And then she relates what occurred, Ο. 8 correct? 9 Correct. Α. 10 According to Maysonet, on the 17th of 11 January 1995 or thereabouts, she was in a room 12 in Kosmor Hall, and at some point, Hammers leans 13 into the room French-kissing her, feeling her 14 butt, thighs and breasts. She did not want him 15 to do this, according to her; is that correct? 16 Α. Correct. 17 And then on February 7, 1995 while in 18 dietary, and dietary means the food service 19 20 area? That's correct. 21 Α. With her sister, Officer Hammers came 22 in, saw her and motioned for her to meet him. 23 They met in the inmate bathroom. Hammers was 24 crouching on the toilet, and they French-kissed. 25

M. Wolanin - by Mr. Krakoff 1 Hammers put his right hand down the front of her 2 pants and inserted his fingers into her vagina, 3 and then on the 16th, according to this, they again kissed. 5 That's according to Maysonet. Α. Yes. Well, did you draw -- did you 7 reach any conclusions with respect to what 8 occurred here? 9 Basically in the midst of this 10 investigation, Hammers resigned, and he refused 11 to be interviewed, and the case was -- due to 12 him resigning, that was sufficient for the DOC, 13 and it went no further; so actually no 14 conclusion whether he did these things or not. 1.5 Was reached? Ο. 16 Right. Okay. Α. 17 Didn't you actually get to the point of 18 Q. consulting with the District Attorney's Office 19 in Crawford County about the possibility of 20 bringing criminal charges? 21 We identified a couple of Yeah. Α. 22 criminal charges, aggravated indecent assault 23 and indecent assault, under Subsection (a)(5) or 24 5, which indicates that the Maysonets were in 25

M. Wolanin - by Mr. Krakoff 1 He had already -- I believe he resigned. 2 Do you know whether that had any -- his 3 resignation had anything to do with allegations 4 that he had been involved in sexual misconduct 5 with an inmate? 6 I'm not aware of that. 7 Does OPR take the position one way or 8 another with respect to when staff members 9 resign due to allegations of sexual misconduct 1.0 whether other members of the staff should be 11 told about why their resignation was occurring? 12 Do we get involved in that? Α. 13 Yes. 0. 14 T don't. Α. No. 15 Does OPR have a position with respect to Ο. 16 whether that information should be made known to 1.7 the staff? 18 I don't believe. We don't have the 19 position of going out and telling people what 20 the guy --21 Resigned for? 0. 22 -- resigned for or whatever. We don't 23 do that. We try to maintain confidentiality. 24 Now, let me refer you to Exhibit 132. 25

M. Wolanin - by Mr. Krakoff 1 relationship of -- between CO Lawton and 2 Skipper? 3 The only involvement that myself or my 4 office had was obtaining phone records regarding 5 the CO. Did I ever talk to Skipper? I don't 6 That's our only involvement. 7 know her. And what were those phone records about? 8 Skipper was allegedly making collect 9 phone calls from the institution to this --1.0 Officer? Ο. 11 Yes, this officer's location or house or Α. 12 whatever it was at that time. 13 Exhibit 134, let's -- well, before we 14 get to that, I want to ask you about another 15 matter. It's in the first volume. Exhibit 27, 16 which the first page of it is your report in 17 connection with the investigation of James 18 Eicher, the complainant was Lisa Lambert. And 19 if you turn to page 15, which is the first 20 attachment to your report, do you see that? 21 Correct. Got it. Α. 22 Now, do you recall where you received Q. 23 this attachment from or from whom you received 24 it? 2.5

M. Wolanin - by Mr. Krakoff 1 I believe originally I received it by 2 way of Lazenby. 3 Do you know who wrote it, prepared this 4 document? Were you told who prepared this 5 document? 6 I believe another CO I, I can't recall 7 who it was, or CO sergeant or --8 Now, you'll see in here -- and I'm not 9 going to go through all of these, but you'll see 10 the -- there are a number of staff members who 11 allegedly had physical contact with either Lisa 12 Lambert or other inmates at Cambridge Springs, 13 one in -- in addition to Eicher, there's 14 Montejo, M-O-N-T-E-A-O -- J-O, Officer Merry, 15 and that one goes back to late 1992 into 1993; 16 CO Rogers, CO Coffee and others. Even CO Beck 17 was mentioned in connection with an inmate by 18 the name of DiBello (phonetic), and, of course, 19 these are allegations. 2.0 Α. Right. 21 But did you undertake to investigate any 22 of these allegations? 23 Some we already looked into. 24 institution had the same information. 25

1	M. Wolanin - by Mr. Krakoff
2	Q. Right.
3	A. We did not look into anything on our
4	own.
5	Q. Did anybody at the institution,
6	including but not limited to Wolfe, Kormanic and
7	Utz, ask you to investigate ask OPR generally
8	or you specifically to investigate these various
9	allegations contained in Attachment 1 of your
10	report?
11	MR. HALLORAN: You mean other than
12	the ones they've already investigated?
13	MR. KRAKOFF: That's right.
14	A. Did anybody come to me and specifically
15	say look into this?
16	Q. Yes.
17	A. No. They didn't do it to me.
18	Q. Did they do it to anybody, to your
19	knowledge, at OPR?
20	A. I can't I don't know. I can't answer
21	that.
22	Q. Did anybody at OPR say to you I want you
23	to investigation the allegations that are
24	contained in Attachment 1 of your report?
25	A. Eicher, that's how this originally came

1	M. Wolanin - by Mr. Krakoff
2	about. Any of the other ones, I can't recall.
3	Jim Merry was already looked into.
4	Q. Was that Merry going back to 1992/'93 or
5	a more recent Jim Merry?
6	A. That had to be more recent Jim Merry
7	because the Merry was in '94/'95 with Ms.
8	Maysonet.
9	Q. Right, right. So it wasn't this one?
10	A. That's not that one.
11	Q. Right.
12	A. And I believe Merry was already gone
13	when we had this paper.
14	Q. What about Rogers? Did anybody ask you
15	to look into Rogers?
16	A. When we got this document, he was
17	already out of Cambridge Springs.
1.8	Q. Where was he?
19	A. Another state institution somewhere.
2 0	Q. In Pennsylvania?
21	A. In Pennsylvania.
22	Q. Did you do you know whether anybody
23	was asked to investigate Rogers at that other
2 4	institution?
25	A. I don't know.

M. Wolanin - by Mr. Krakoff 1 But you didn't investigate anything at 2 Cambridge Springs relative to allegations 3 against Rogers; is that correct? 4 No, I did not. Α. 5 And then Coffee, did anybody ask you to 6 investigate Coffee? 7 No, not myself. Α. R Are -- and that included anybody at 9 Cambridge Springs or at OPR saying to you we 10 want you to investigate this? 11 Nobody at Cambridge Springs would No. Α. 12 come directly to me. 13 And nobody at your office, meaning 14 Vaughn Davis or anybody else at your office, 15 asked you to investigate that; is that correct? 16 Correct. Α. 17 And can -- is the same true with respect 18 Ο. to the others; Schmitt, Beck, Stewart and Young? 19 Yeah. Α. 2.0 And Free? Q. 21 Correct. Α. 22 Now, in terms of Eicher, you said you Q. 23 already did investigate Eicher by the time you 24 issued this report, correct? 25

1	M. Wolanin - by Mr. Krakoff
2	A. This was part of Eicher's report, I
3	believe.
4	Q. Right, right. Now, did you investigate
5	whether Eicher had any sort of physical contact
6	with an inmate by the name of E. Jones in early
7	1993?
8	A. Did I do it, no, I did not.
9	Q. Did anybody ask you to?
10	A. No.
11	Q. Did you investigate Eicher to see
12	whether he had had any sort of improper contact
13	with Elizabeth Maysonet and Hilda Maysonet in
14	the autumn of '93?
15	A. Did we did our office look into
16	that?
17	Q. Yes.
18	A. No.
19	Q. Were you asked to?
20	A. No, I was not.
21	Q. What about allegations that Eicher had
22	had contact with an Inmate P. Hoover in late '93
23	in the field house, at the yard and in the
24	basement of Curie Hall?
25	A. I didn't look into that.

1.	M. Wolanin - by Mr. Krakoff
2	Q. Did anybody ask you to?
3	A. No.
4	Q. Why didn't you investigate to see
5	whether Eicher had as alleged inappropriate
6	contact with the Maysonets, with Hoover, with
7	the Maysonets and Hoover?
8	A. I was never given a case in that
9	respect.
10	Q. But you were given a document that said
11	that Eicher allegedly had been involved in
12	inappropriate contact with these other women,
13	the two Maysonets and Hoover, correct?
14	A. Yeah, because it's part of the report.
15	Q. Right. And my question is, why didn't
16	you investigate to see whether Eicher had been
17	involved with those other inmates?
18	A. I don't initiate investigations on my
19	own.
20	Q. Did you go to anybody, though, at OPR or
21	at the prison level to say do you want me to
22	look into these as well about Eicher?
23	A. I can't recall whether that occurred or
24	not.
25	Q. These attachments, though, were attached

M. Wolanin - by Mr. Krakoff 1 to your report; is that correct? 2 Α. Correct. 3 And when you submitted your report, as 0. 4 far as you know, all of those attachments 5 accompanied it? 6 As far as I know. Α. 7 Are those attachments routinely stapled Ο. 8 to the report? Are they known as all one 9 document? 10 Stapled or clipped. Α. 11 Just all one document? Ο. 12 Right. When I submit it, it's all one Α. 13 document, and where it goes from there, it's 14 beyond me. 15 Now, Exhibit 134 -- no. Forget that. 16 I'm not going to question you about that. 17 That's after the time period that we're focusing 18 19 on. Exhibit 135 is a memo from 20 Superintendent Wolfe to Deputy Commissioner 21 Fulcomer dated the 23rd of February 1996. Do 22 you have that? 23 Got it. A. 24 You'll see that he, Mr. Wolfe, reports, Ο. 25

M. Wolanin - by Mr. Krakoff 1 Let me ask you this. Do you see the 2 next page, which is page two of Exhibit 131? 3 Right. Α. 4 It says, Bill, and then it's 5 underlined. Superintendent Wolfe's name is 6 William Wolfe? 7 Ά. Correct. 8 Do you know whether it was customary for Ο. 9 Vaughn Davis when sending memos or letters on to 10 Superintendent Wolfe for Vaughn Davis to refer 11 to Superintendent Wolfe as Bill? 12 I can't answer that. 13 So you don't know whether he -- whether 74 this was going on to William Wolfe or to 15 somebody else, is that right, this November 8, 16 1994 from the desk of Vaughn Davis? 17 I can't answer that. Α. 18 I'll ask Mr. Davis that. Now, let's 19 turn to the next page of this memo. You'll see 2.0 -- it's page three of Exhibit 131. 21 December 5, 1994. Do you have that? 22 131, Exhibit 131? Α. 23 Ο. Yes. 24 The November 7th? Α. 25

M. Wolanin - by Mr. Krakoff 1 Well, the next one. It's the last --2 Q. 3 Α. Okay. Apparently Wolfe sent the memo to Ο. 4 Bartlett. 5 Correct, according to the memo. Α. 6 According to the memo, right. Let me 7 refer you to Exhibit 139. Do you see this? 8 Α. Okay. 9 This is a --Ο. 10 MR. KRAKOFF: Can we agree, Mr. 11 Halloran, that this exhibit was generated by 12 somebody at Cambridge Springs for this case? 13 Right? 14 MR. HALLORAN: Yes. 15 MR. KRAKOFF: Okay. 16 And these are -- this is kind of a table Q. 17 or a chart that has been prepared, and you'll 18 see on the second page of Exhibit 139, it says 19 Richard Hammers, and if you look all of the way 20 over to the far right column, I think that's 21 supposed to say results. That's the results 22 column. It didn't come out in the copying. Do 23 you see that? 2.4 Okay. I don't see Hammers. Α. 25

M. Wolanin - by Mr. Krakoff 1 Hammers is the second from the top on Ο. 2 the --3 MR. HALLORAN: On the next page, 4 you can see it. 5 Α. Okay. 6 It says that OPR investigated this, and 7 then it says criminal charges filed. But they 8 weren't actually charged -- filed in Hammers' 9 case? 10 Α. No. 11 Did you tell Hammers that you were going 12 to contact the District Attorney's Office to 13 discuss the possibility of criminal charges? 14 I don't believe I did. Α. 15 Do you have any information as to why 16 Hammers resigned when he did? 17 I believe in the report, it listed Α. 18 something to the effect of possible medical 19 reasons. 20 Ο. Yes. 21 I can't give you a full --Α. 22 That was the reason that Hammers qave? 2.3 Q. I think that's what he said. I'm not --2.4 if we could pull it up in a report, we can 25

M. Wolanin - by Mr. Krakoff
the effect of a person losing his or her
pension?
A. I can't answer that.
Q. What about in your bargaining unit? Is
it I don't know if it's a bargaining unit.
What about in your classification; do you know?
A. We're listed as confidential employees.
I've never
Q. You don't know?
A. I never came across that.
Q. Let me refer you to the last line of
Exhibit 139. It says Carl Zimmerman.
A. Okay.
Q. And then under the in the last column
where it says results, it says criminal charges
filed. Is that what happened?
A. That's inaccurate.
Q. That's inaccurate?
A. (Witness nodded head.)
Q. Is that right?
A. Correct. Well, it was filed through
civil service, and he lost the appeals.
Q. To the best of your oh, I'm sorry.
(Witness confers with counsel.)